

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1 and 3-7 remain in the application. Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 2 has been cancelled.

The purpose of this supplemental amendment is to clarify that the conversion of  $\mu\text{mol/kg}$  into ppm can only be done if the respective concentration range is directed to a specific substance and not to a class of substance such as alcohol. Therefore, the conversion provided in the amendment dated January 5, 2005 is just an example directed to the specific substance methanol. To convert  $\mu\text{mol/kg}$  into ppm it is first necessary to convert "mol" into the molecular weight of a specific substance (for instance: 1 mol methanol = 32g; 1 mol ethanol = 46 g).

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of claims 1 and 3-7 are solicited.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable, counsel would appreciate a telephone call so that, if possible, patentable language can be worked out.

Please charge any fees which might be due with respect to 37

Applic. No.: 10/715,069  
Amdt. Dated January 18, 2005  
Reply to Office action of August 5, 2004

CFR Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner  
and Greenberg, P.A., No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,

**Yonghong Chen**  
**Reg. No. 56,150**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
For Applicants

YC

January 18, 2005

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.  
Post Office Box 2480  
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480  
Tel: (954) 925-1100  
Fax: (954) 925-1101